



Table of Contents

Executive Summary

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Project Scope	1-1
1.2 Project Study Area	1-1
1.3 Methodology	1-1
1.3.1 Literature Review	
1.3.2 Field Work	
1.3.3 Current Bike Use	
1.3.4 Community Meetings and Survey Questionnaire	
1.3.6 Geographic Information Systems	
1.4 Project Approach	1-6
1.5 Issues	1-6
1.5.1 Bikeway Conceptual Framework	
1.5.2 Cyclist Types: Commuter, Recreational or “Serious”	
1.5.3 Pathway Crossings and Intersections	
1.5.4 Integration with Other On-going Studies	
1.5.5 Funding Sources	
1.5.6 Bikeway Continuity	
1.5.7 Understanding Cyclists’ Needs	
1.6 Project Goals	1-9
1.7 Project Definitions	1-10
1.7.1 Bikeway Facility Types	
1.7.2 Associated Agencies	



Chapter 2: Background Information

2.1 City of Encinitas General Plan (GP) • 1989	2-1
2.1.1 Local Coastal Program (LCP)	
2.1.2 GP and LCP Consistency	
2.2 North 101 Corridor Specific Plan • 1997	2-6
2.3 Encinitas Ranch Specific Plan • 1988	2-9
2.4 Downtown Encinitas Specific Plan • 1994	2-13
2.5 Recreational Trails Master Plan (RTMP) • 2002	2-18
2.5.1 Relationship to RTMP	
2.6 Master Bikeway Plan and Engineering Feasibility Study • 1990	2-20
2.7 Neighborhood Traffic Management Program	2-20
2.8 City of Carlsbad Bikeway Master Plan • 2001	2-21
2.9 City of San Marcos Bikeway Master Plan • 2001	2-21
2.10 City of Solana Beach Bikeway Master Plan • 1993	2-22
2.11 County of San Diego Bikeway Master Plan • 2004	2-22
2.12 San Diego Association of Governments Bike Map	2-22
2.13 San Diego County Bicycle Use and Attitude Survey	2-23
2.14 CEQA/Negative Declaration	2-24



Chapter 3: Origin and Destination Points

3.1 Trip Origins	3-1
3.1.1 Existing Land Use	
3.1.2 Future Land Use	
3.1.3 Existing Residential Areas	
3.1.4 Trip Origin Summary	
3.2 Destinations	3-5
3.2.1 Existing Activity Centers	
3.2.2 Parks/Schools/Civic Centers	
3.2.3 Employment Centers	
3.2.4 Trip Destination Summary	





Chapter 4: Multi-Modal Analysis

4.1 Multi-Modal Analysis	4-1
4.2 North County Transit District	4-2
4.2.1 Coaster Commuter Rail	
4.2.2 NCTD Buses	
4.3 Park and Ride Facilities	4-3
4.4 Transit Centers	4-3



Chapter 5: Safety Analysis

5.1 Literature Review	5-1
5.2 User Types and Capabilities	5-2
5.2.1 User Classifications	
5.2.2 User Capabilities	
5.3 Bicycle/Roadway Compatibility Analysis	5-5
5.3.1 Typical Roadway and Intersection Conflicts	
5.4 Crash Data Analysis	5-8
5.4.1 Coast Highway 101	
5.4.2 Encinitas Boulevard	
5.4.3 El Camino Real	
5.4.4 Interstate 5 Crossings	
5.4.5 Additional Crashes	
5.5 Specific Problem Areas	5-11
5.5.1 Coast Highway 101	
5.5.2 Vulcan Avenue	
5.5.3 Encinitas Boulevard	
5.5.4 Manchester Avenue	
5.5.5 San Elijo Avenue	
5.5.6 Birmingham Drive at Interstate 5	
5.5.7 Leucadia Boulevard	



Chapter 6: Opportunities and Constraints

6.1 Opportunities	6-1
6.1.1 Future Street Additions with Bicycle Facilities	
6.1.2 Coastal Rail Trail	
6.1.3 Trail System	
6.1.4 Compact City Form	
6.2 Constraints	6-3
6.2.1 Available Rights-of-Way	
6.2.2 Interstate 5 and Rail Line	
6.2.3 Steep or Long Grades	
6.2.4 Traffic Volumes	
6.3 Connectivity Issues	6-9
6.3.1 Local Geomorphology	
6.3.2 Interstate Highway	
6.3.3 Discontinuous Bikeways	
6.4 Safety Issues	6-9
6.4.1 Narrow Roadways	
6.4.2 High Posted Speed Limits	



Chapter 7: Community Input

7.1 Questionnaire	7-1
7.2 Community Workshop and Questionnaire Comments	7-3
7.2.1 General Comments	
7.2.2 Specific Roadway Locations	
7.2.3 Other Specific Locations	





Chapter 8: Recommendations

8.1 Facility Priority Criteria and Implementation	8-2
8.2 Proposed Bikeway Facilities	8-2
8.2.1 Class 1 Facilities (CIP Segment 1 only)	
8.2.2 Class 2 Facilities (CIP Segments 2-21)	
8.2.3 Class 3 Facilities (CIP Segments 22-39)	
8.3 Other Facility Improvement Recommendations	8-8
8.3.1 Freeway and Rail Line Crossings	
8.3.2 Intermodal Facilities	
8.3.3 Bridges	
8.3.4 High Motor Vehicle Speeds and Volumes	
8.3.5 Urban Access Pathways	
8.3.6 Connections to Urban Centers	
8.3.7 School Access Paths/Routes	
8.3.8 Loss or Degradation of Bikeway Facilities	
8.3.9 Temporary Construction Zone Speed Limits	
8.3.10 Traffic Signal Loop Detector Sensitivity	
8.3.11 Topography	
8.4 Related Recommendations	8-12
8.4.1 Education	
8.4.2 Enforcement	
8.4.3 Bikeway Map	

Chapter 9: CIPs and Bikeway Funding

9.1 Bikeway Development Priorities	9-1
9.2 Typical Unit Construction Costs	9-1
9.2.1 Class 1 Bikeways	
9.2.2 Class 2 Bikeways	
9.2.3 Class 3 Bikeways	
9.2.4 Bikeway Bridge Improvements	
9.3 Bikeway Funding Sources	9-6
9.3.1 Federal Sources	
9.3.2 State Sources	
9.3.3 Local Sources	
9.3.4 Most Likely Sources	
9.3.5 Other Bicycle Project Funding Sources	



Chapter 10: Design Guidelines

10.1 Bikeway Planning	10-1
10.1.1 Local Emphasis	
10.1.2 Master Plan Process	
10.1.3 "Institutionalizing" Bicycle Planning	
10.1.4 Primary Planning Considerations	
10.1.5 Integration with Other City Plans and Programs	
10.1.6 Education and Encouragement	
10.1.7 Regulating Land Use and Design to Benefit Cycling	
10.1.8 Bicycle Parking Facilities	
10.1.9 Locating Bicycle Facilities on Roadways	
10.1.10 Integrating Bicycle Facilities into the Planning Process	
10.2 General Physical Guidelines	10-11
10.2.1 Pavement Width	
10.2.2 Sight Distance	
10.2.3 Truck Traffic	
10.2.4 Steep Grades	
10.2.5 Unavoidable Obstacles	





10.2.6	Pavement Design	
10.2.7	Raised Roadway Markers	
10.2.8	Utilities	
10.2.9	Drainage Facilities	
10.2.10	Combination Curb and Gutter	
10.2.11	Bridges	
10.2.12	Traffic Control Devices	
10.2.13	Intersections and Driveways	
10.2.14	Roadside Obstacles	
10.2.15	Railroad Crossings	
10.2.16	TSM Type Improvements	
10.2.17	Marginal Improvements and Retrofitting Roadways	
10.2.18	Access Control	
10.2.19	Bikeway Reconstruction after Construction	
10.2.20	Maintenance Priorities	
10.2.21	Intermodal Planning and Facilities	
10.2.22	Traffic Calming	
10.3	Class 1 Multi-Use Path Guidelines	10-24
10.3.1	Class 1 Planning Issues	
10.4	Design of Class 1 Facilities	10-26
10.4.1	Width and Clearance	
10.4.2	Horizontal Separation from Roadways	
10.4.3	Design Speed	
10.4.4	Horizontal Alignment and Superelevation	
10.4.5	Grade	
10.4.6	Switchbacks	
10.4.7	Sight Distances	
10.4.8	Intersections	
10.4.9	Signing and Marking	
10.4.10	Pavement Structure	
10.4.11	Structures	
10.4.12	Drainage	
10.4.13	Lighting	
10.4.14	Barriers to Motor Vehicle Traffic	
10.5	Unpaved Multi-Use Facilities	10-32
10.6	Class 2 Facilities	10-32
10.6.1	Lane Widths	
10.6.2	Intersections	
10.7	Class 3 Facilities	10-35
10.7.1	Roadway Engineering	





Appendices

A: Caltrans BTA Compliance	A-2
B: Agency Publications	A-10
Assembly Concurrent Resolution Number 211	
Caltrans Deputy Directive Number: DD-64	
Accommodating Bicycle and Pedestrian Travel	
C: Guidelines for Selecting Safe Routes to School	A-24
D: California Vehicle Code – Bicycle Use of Roadways	A-26

Figures

1-1: Project Location	1-2
1-2: Existing Bikeway Facilities	1-3
1-3: Bikeway User Profiles	1-7
1-4: Bikeway Facility Types	1-10
3-1: 2002 Land Use	3-2
3-2: 2030 Land Use	3-3
3-3: 2002 Population Density	3-6
3-4: 2030 Population Density	3-7
3-5: 2002 Housing Density	3-8
3-6: 2030 Housing Density	3-9
3-7: 2002 Employment Density	3-10
3-8: 2030 Employment Density	3-11
3-9: Trip Origin and Destination Points	3-13
4-1: Transit Systems	4-2
5-1: Bikeway User Profiles	5-4
5-2: Controlled Intersection Conflicts	5-6
5-3: Uncontrolled Non-intersection Conflicts	5-7
5-4: Roadway Segment Conflicts	5-8
5-5: Crash Locations	5-10
6-1: Grades	6-6
6-2: Traffic Volumes	6-8
7-1: Questionnaire	7-2
8-1: Existing and Proposed Bikeway Facilities	8-3
9-1: Proposed CIP Project Segments	9-3

Tables

9-1: Typical Unit Construction Costs	9-2
9-2a and b: Capital Improvement Projects	9-4
9-3a and b: Bikeway Funding Summary	9-14
10-1: Recommended Pavement Widths	10-12



